No. E067711

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT, DIVISION TWO

MACY'S WEST STORES, INC., DBA MACY'S, AND MACY'S, INC.,

Petitioners,

V.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO, Respondent,

AMBER GARCIA

Real Party in Interest.

Petition for Writ of Mandate from the Superior Court of San Bernardino County Case No. CIVDS1516007 Honorable Donna Gunnell Garza, Judge

AMICI CURIAE BRIEF OF CALIFORNIA RETAILERS ASSOCIATION, RETAIL LITIGATION CENTER, INC. AND RETAIL INDUSTRY LEADERS ASSOCIATION IN SUPPORT OF PETITIONERS

James S. Brown, No. 135810 SEDGWICK LLP 333 Bush Street, 30th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104-2834

Tel: (415) 781-7900

Facsimile: (415) 781-2635

Counsel for Proposed Amici Curiae

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
ARGUMENT	4
CONCLUSION	6

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

]	Page
CASES	
DeLeon v. Verizon Wireless, LLC (2012) 207 Cal. App. 4th 800	5

AMICI CURIAE BRIEF OF CALIFORNIA RETAILERS ASSOCIATION, RETAIL LITIGATION CENTER, INC. AND RETAIL INDUSTRY LEADERS ASSOCIATION IN SUPPORT OF PETITIONERS

The California Retailers Association, Retail Litigation Center, Inc. and Retail Industry Leaders Association respectfully submit this brief as *amici curiae* in support of the Petition for Writ of Mandate filed by Macy's. The issues presented in the petition are exceptionally important to businesses in California and warrant this Court's interlocutory review.

ARGUMENT

Amici broadly represent interests of retail businesses with very substantial membership in California, collectively employing hundreds of thousands of individuals within the state. Amici's members include numerous businesses whose employees are paid on commission. Many use advance commission programs similar to that used by Macy's, in which an employee receives an advance payment for commission sales at or near the time of the sale, and prior to the latest date on which the sale might be reversed. The commission is not actually earned until after the product return period has expired. The advanced payment for commission sales is identified on the wage statement at the time that it is

advanced. In the event of a charge back for a product return, such charge back is debited against future advanced commissions, though only the future advanced commissions are identified on the wage statement.

California courts have repeatedly encouraged the use of advance commission recognizing that programs, thev substantially benefit employees. (E.g., DeLeon v. Verizon Wireless, LLC (2012) 207 Cal. App. 4th 800.) The superior court's ruling creates significant uncertainty about how Amici's members can continue to offer their employees such mutually beneficial programs while meeting their reporting obligations. Amic's members invest substantial time and effort in fully complying with their reporting obligations. Absent this Court's intervention by writ of mandate, they will likely be faced with the need to review their reporting practices without any real guidance from the courts as to what is required of them. This process will impose substantial additional costs on *Amici's* members and, if employers are required to report commission payments long after receipt, may well end up making employees' wage statements less clear, not more so. Likewise, the uncertainties presented by the threat of possible costly litigation

under the Private Attorneys General Act (PAGA) could result in

many employers discontinuing the use of otherwise acceptable

commission pay arrangements.

CONCLUSION

The issues presented by Macy's petition are of the greatest

importance to *Amici*'s members and will require appellate court

review eventually. The relevant facts are fully developed, and

the issues presented are ones of law, so nothing is to be gained by

postponing review until entry of final judgment below. Because

the trial court's decision creates substantial uncertainty for

thousands of California employers, Amici respectfully urge the

Court to grant Macy's petition and reverse the trial court's order.

DATED: February 17, 2017 SEDGWICK LLP

By: /s/ James S. Brown

James S. Brown

Attorneys for *Amici Curiae* California Retailers Association.

Retail Litigation Center, Inc. and

Retail Industry Leaders

Association

6 84376715v1

CERTIFICATION OF WORD COUNT

Pursuant to Rule 8.204(c)(1), California Rules of Court, the

undersigned hereby certifies that this Amici Curiae Brief in

Support of Petitioners contains 603 words, excluding the tables

and this certificate, according to the word count generated by the

computer program used to produce this document.

Dated: February 17, 2017 SED

SEDGWICK LLP

By: <u>/s/ James S. Brown</u>

Attorneys for *Amici Curiae* California Retailers Association, Retail Litigation Center and Retail

Industry Leaders Association

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

At the time of service, I was over 18 years of age and not a party to this action. I am employed in the County of San Francisco, State of California. My business address is 333 Bush Street, 30th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94104-2834.

On February 17, 2017, I served true copies of the following document(s) described as

AMICI CURLAE BRIEF OF CALIFORNIA RETAILERS ASSOCIATION, RETAIL LITIGATION CENTER, Inc. AND RETAIL INDUSTRY LEADERS ASSOCIATION IN SUPPORT OF PETITIONERS

on the interested parties in this action as follows:

BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE: On the above-mentioned date, I caused the documents to be sent to TrueFiling, the Court's Electronic Filing Services Provider, for electronic service and filing. Electronic service will be accomplished by TrueFiling's case-filing system at the electronic notification addresses as shown below.

Robert H. Wright
Felix Shafir
Horvitz & Levy LLP
3601 West Olive Avenue, 8th Floor
Burbank, CA 91505-4681
Phone: (818) 995-0800
Fax: (844) 497-6592
rwright@horvitzlevy.com
fshafir@horvitzlevy.com

Attorneys For Petitioners Macy's West Stores, Inc., dba Macy's, and Macy's, Inc.

Julia Azrael John S. Curtis Katherine L. Curtis Law Offices of Julia Azrael 5200 Lankershim Boulevard, Suite 850 North Hollywood, CA 91601 Phone: (818) 766-5177

Fax: (818) 766-5047 jcurtis@azraellaw.net kcurtis@azraellaw.net Attorneys For Petitioners Macy's West Stores, Inc., dba Macy's, and Macy's, Inc.

Brian J. Mankin
Peter J. Carlson
Fernandez & Lauby LLP
4590 Allstate Drive
Riverside, CA 92501
Phone: (951) 320-1444
Fax: (951) 320-1445
bjm@fernandezlauby.com
pjc@fernandezlauby.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff and Real Party in Interest Amber Garcia

Kirk D. Hanson Law Offices of Kirk D. Hanson 2790 Truxtun Road, Suite 140 San Diego, CA 92106 Phone: (619) 523-1992 Fax: (619) 523-9002 hansonlaw@cox.net Attorneys for Plaintiff and Real Party in Interest Amber Garcia

Blaine H. Evanson Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP 333 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90071 Tel: 949-451-3805 bevanson@gibsondunn.com Attorneys for Amicus Curiae Chamber of Commerce of the United States Of America and California Chamber of Commerce

Matthew W. Callahan Schiff Hardin LLP One Market, Spear Street Tower Suite 3100 San Francisco, CA 94105 Tel: 415-901-8646

mcallahan@schiffhardin.com

Attorneys for Amicus Curiae National Retail Federation

BY MAIL: I enclosed the document(s) in a sealed envelope or package addressed to the persons at the addresses listed in the Service List and placed the envelope for collection and mailing, following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with Sedgwick LLP's practice for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service, in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid. I am a resident or employed in the county where the mailing occurred. The envelope was placed in the mail at San Francisco, California.

Hon. Donna Gunnell Garza San Bernardino Superior Court San Bernardino Justice Center 247 West Third Street, Dept. S24 San Bernardino, CA 92415-0210 Trial Judge: CIVDS1516007

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on February 17, 2017, at San Francisco, California.