**Overview**

H&M, the international clothing retailer, has more than 4,500 retail stores worldwide, including over 20 stores in New York City alone. Prior to 2015, H&M stores often kept exterior doors open to promote store foot traffic. It was assumed that on a hot day, feeling air conditioning coming from a store would encourage customers to enter. However, in 2015 New York City passed legislation requiring stores and restaurants to keep front doors closed while building air conditioning is running. The following year, H&M decided to use the operational change mandated by the new closed-door law as an opportunity. They decided to examine whether closing the New York City doors had decreased foot traffic as well as estimate the typical additional energy costs from opening.

“For H&M, sustainability is an integral part of all that we do and is shown in our business concept of ‘fashion and quality at the best price in a sustainable way.’ It is important for us to also put that into practice in our stores. Keeping the doors shut and lowering our environmental impact on the planet by conserving energy shows our customers through concrete actions that being sustainable isn’t just something we say, it’s something we do every day, in every place we operate.”

*John Ehrnst*
Sales Manager US
H&M
leaving doors open, so that a cost benefit decision could be made for other locations.

**Process**

The H&M North America Sustainability Team set out to determine both potential energy savings and changes in store foot traffic from a closed-door policy. Using the size of the entrance doors and the typical pressure differential between exterior and interior (0.02” WC), H&M first calculated the volume of air that infiltrates the store when the doors are left open. Then, using the 30-year average hourly weather data for a sample store located in NYC, they calculated the energy it takes to cool that infiltrating air to their standard cooling setpoint of 73°F across an entire summer. Retailers interested in conducting a similar study should consider using the National Renewable Energy Laboratory’s Retail Building Guide for Entrance Energy Efficiency Measures as a starting place.

H&M assumed a store located in New York City with two sets of exterior doors, and an average combined electric rate of $0.129/kWh, to calculate a conservative estimate of potential savings. From their analysis, H&M Energy Specialist Kyle Hopkins estimated that the average store with two sets of exterior doors costs the company an additional $10,000 annually if they leave the doors open for an entire summer (1,377 open-door hours). This adds up to as much as $1 million in avoidable annual costs across the 125+ H&M locations with exterior doors.

Second, to determine whether H&M stores experience a decrease in foot traffic from keeping doors closed, H&M investigated whether there was any change in foot traffic after the NYC local law came into effect. They found no discernable change in foot traffic data gathered by sensors before and after New York City implemented the closed-door law.

Based on these two striking results, the possibility that other cities may follow New York’s legislative lead, and H&Ms goal of “100% leading the change” in retail sustainability, the Sustainability Team proposed a country-wide closed-door policy to senior leadership.

A key aspect of the business case for the new closed-door policy was a lack of evidence that foot traffic was affected by the New York City law’s implementation. This challenged the assumption that open doors indirectly drive sales enough to justify the additional energy use and costs.

**By the Numbers:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Estimated average energy savings per store</td>
<td>77,522 kWh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated average cost savings per store</td>
<td>$9,987</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Outcomes**

Presented with a low-cost, low-risk savings opportunity that aligned with H&M’s sustainability objectives, senior leadership was open to pursuing the company-wide change. In Spring 2016 the Sustainability Team presented their research, and by the summer, the H&M North America President approved the closed-door policy.

The policy states that stores with exterior facing doors should keep these doors closed at all times unless they need to seek an exception for a special case. For example, some outdoor shopping malls require retail tenants to leave exterior doors open.
To support implementation of the policy, the Energy Team worked with the H&M Marketing Department to create a vinyl sticker for stores to place on doors, which reminds store employees and customers to keep them closed. Even with visual prompts, implementing the policy requires ongoing monitoring and troubleshooting. For example, some doors have hinges or hooks that hold doors open if opened widely, requiring associates to monitor them or prompting H&M to remove the mechanisms. In San Francisco, a local law requiring signage stating that retail store doors must be “open” during operating hours was misunderstood as meaning physically open, rather than simply unlocked. Finally, in a few cases where energy consumption data indicated the policy was not being followed, security cameras provide verification whether doors were truly being kept closed.

Even with a few behavioral challenges to overcome, H&M is confident the expected savings will be well worth the educational investment.
Internal Process Spotlight: Creating a Business Case for a Closed-Door Policy

Many retailers have untested assumptions about how open doors influence customer foot traffic. Quantifying the costs and benefits of a closed-door proposed policy is an important example of how simple operational energy savings opportunities are still available to many companies.

RECOMMENDATIONS

- Quantify closed door store foot traffic impact by either examining New York City store foot traffic data pre-and post-law (if doors were left open before the law) and/or running an experiment to compare year over year foot traffic changes at other locations;
- Quantify the loss of energy when doors are left open;
- Quantify energy savings by calculating the additional cost of requiring HVAC systems to compensate for the temperature change and energy loss when store doors are open;
- Use the National Renewable Energy Laboratory’s Retail Building Guide for Entrance Energy Efficiency Measures as a starting place;
- Engage with key stakeholders within the company to explain results and explore their concerns;
- Lead with results about foot traffic if no impact is detected, since a reduction in sales is typically the primary concern.
RILA Energy Management Program

Program Background
Retailers have a significant opportunity to reduce the energy consumption and associated greenhouse gases of their vast portfolio of locations, to the benefit of both companies and the environment. The Retail Industry Leaders Association (RILA) is committed to helping its members overcome barriers to enhanced energy performance across their building portfolio through its Retail Energy Management Program.

Program Workstreams:
RILA and its program members are working to (1) Develop Implementation Models, (2) Educate the Industry, and (3) Spur Adoption of Implementation Models with a focus on three key areas:

1. **Financial management**, by exploring how to “speak finance”, improve project proposal and piloting processes, create innovation funds, and utilize external financing.

2. **Leased store management**, by engaging landlords and internal real estate, construction, and store associate teams to overcome the additional energy management challenges faced in leased store locations.

3. **Renewable energy**, by partnering with existing renewable energy organizations to educate energy managers on the landscape of renewable energy procurement options.

Join the Program
Retail energy managers interested in participating should email Erin Hiatt, Director, Energy, Sustainability & Research, at Erin.Hiatt@RILA.org.

Learn more at rila.org/energy

Find more Better Buildings resources at betterbuildingssolutioncenter.energy.gov
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